

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE GEORGE A. HERBSTER

34021

SUITE 303

7590

GEORGE A. HERBSTER 40 BEACH STREET

MANCHESTER, MA 01944

10/06/2004

.

EXAMINER

MACK, RICKY LEVERN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2873

FEB 0 8 2005

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

CONFIRMATION NO.

10/659,727

09/10/2003

Richard E. Forkey

P25-003

3882

TITLE OF INVENTION: ACYLINDRICAL OPTICAL DEVICES AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	YES	\$685	\$300	\$985	01/06/2005

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE REFLECTS A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE APPLIED IN THIS APPLICATION. THE PTOL-85B (OR AN EQUIVALENT) MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN THIS PERIOD EVEN IF NO FEE IS DUE OR THE APPLICATION WILL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL should be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Even if the fee(s) have already been paid, Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

(703) 746-4000

or <u>Fax</u> INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where appropriate. All further correspondence including the Patent, advance orders and notification of maintenance fees will be mailed to the current correspondence address as indicated unless corrected below or directed otherwise in Block 1, by (a) specifying a new correspondence address; and/or (b) indicating a separate "FEE ADDRESS" for maintenance fee notifications. maintenance fee notifications. Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, must have its own certificate of mailing or transmission. CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address) 10/06/2004 34021 7590 RECEIVED Certificate of Mailing or Transmission GEORGE A. HERBSTER I hereby certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (703) 746-4000, on the date indicated below. 40 BEACH STREET **SUITE 303** FEB 0 8 2005 MANCHESTER, MA 01944 (Depositor's name Hoggard OFFICE OF PETITIONS (Signatu (Date 2004 CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** APPLICATION NO. P25-003 Richard E. Forkey 09/10/2003 10/659.727 TITLE OF INVENTION: ACYLINDRICAL OPTICAL DEVICES AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE DATE DUE **PUBLICATION FEE** TOTAL FEE(S) DUE **ISSUE FEE** APPLN. TYPE SMALL ENTITY 01/06/2005 \$685 700.00 \$300 \$985 YES nonprovisional CLASS-SUBCLASS **EXAMINER** ART UNIT 359-642000 2873 MACK, RICKY LEVERN 1. Change of correspondence address or indication of "Fee Address" (37 CFR 1.363). 2. For printing on the patent front page, list 1 George A. Herbster (1) the names of up to 3 registered patent attorneys ☐ Change of correspondence address (or Change of Correspondence Address form PTO/SB/122) attached. or agents OR, alternatively, (2) the name of a single firm (having as a member a registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to 2 registered patent attorneys or agents. If no name is listed, no name will be printed. "Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME AND RESIDENCE DATA TO BE PRINTED ON THE PATENT (print or type) PLEASE NOTE: Unless an assignee is identified below, no assignee data will appear on the patent. If an assignee is identified below, the document has been filed for recordation as set forth in 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of this form is NOT a substitute for filing an assignment. (B) RESIDENCE: (CITY and STATE OR COUNTRY) (A) NAME OF ASSIGNEE Precision Optics Corporation Gardner, Massachusetts Please check the appropriate assignee category or categories (will not be printed on the patent): 🔲 Individual 🖾 Corporation or other private group entity 🚨 Government 4b. Payment of Fee(s): 4a. The following fee(s) are enclosed: Issue Fee A check in the amount of the fee(s) is enclosed. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. Publication Fee (No small entity discount permitted) The Director is hereby authorized by charge the required fee(s), or credit any overpayment, to Advance Order - # of Copies Deposit Account Number_ (enclose an extra copy of this form). 5. Change in Entity Status (from status indicated above) b. Applicant is no longer claiming SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27(g)(2). a. Applicant claims SMALL ENTITY status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The Director of the USPTO is requested to apply the Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if any) or to re-apply any previously paid issue fee to the application identified above. NOTE: The Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if required) will not be accepted from anyone other than the applicant; a registered attorney or agent; or the assignee or other party in interest as shown by the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Date December 22, 2004 Authorized Signature ___ 24,002 George A. Herbster Registration No. Typed or printed name _

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.311. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT
To: HERBSTER, George A. Suite 303 GEORGE A. HERBST. 40 Beach Street	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
Manchester MA 01944 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	FEB 0 8 2005
JAN 6 2005	(PCT Rule AFFICE OF PETITIO
FED 9 - AAAF	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 04/01/2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
P25-003PCT	International filing date
International application No. PCT/US2004/028183	(day/month/year) 30/08/2004
Applicant	
PRECISION OPTICS CORPORATION	
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the clain When? The time limit for filing such amendments is nor International Search Report; however, for more Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Form more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accordant activated 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the I With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition the protest together with the decision thereon has been applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or international Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy international preliminary examination report has been or is to be the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date, but only in respect of sexamination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices, the time limit of 30 month months. See the Appent to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the against and the claim of the process of the applicant form the process of the	maly 2 months from the date of transmittal of the details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. A chemin des Colombettes ascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35 ampanying sheet. In report will be established and that the declaration under international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. In onal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: In the transmitted to the International Bureau together with the otest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. In publicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. The international application will be published by the expublication, a notice of withdrawal of the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, and publication. The written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the of such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to lority date. The designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed offices. The (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's
Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site	o.

Authorized officer.

Ka-Bo Chan

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

NL-2280 HV Rijswljk

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international polication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 *Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added.*
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220
P25-003PCT	ACTION	as well	as, where applicable, Item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/monti	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US2004/028183	30/08/2004		10/09/2003
Applicant			
			<u>.</u>
PRECISION OPTICS CORPORAT	ION		
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being to	n prepared by this International Sea ansmitted to the International Burea	rching Auth u.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsh	eets.	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	cited in this	report.
Basis of the report			
a With regard to the language the	international search was carried ou less otherwise indicated under this	t on the bas item.	sis of the international application in the
The international this Authority (Ru	search was carried out on the basis	s of a transl	ation of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequenc	e disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were for	und unsearchable (See Box II).		
3. Unity of invention is la	cking (see Box III).		
4 NATION and and the title			
4. With regard to the title , X the text is approved as s	submitted by the applicant.		
	ished by this Authority to read as fol	lows:	
· ·			
5. With regard to the abstract,			
X the text is approved as	submitted by the applicant.		
the text has been estab may, within one month	lished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by from the date of mailing of this interr	this Autho national sea	rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant arch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regards to the drawings,			
	published with the abstract is Figur	re No. <u>2</u>	
X as suggested b	y the applicant.		
	this Authority, because the applican		
_	this Authority, because this figure be	etter charac	terizes the invention.
b. none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2004/028183

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G02B23/24

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) $IPC \ \ 7 \ \ \ G02B$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
DE 44 38 511 A (OLYMPUS OPTICAL CO) 14 June 1995 (1995-06-14)	1-3, 6-12, 15-18
column 7, lines 17-68; figures 11a,11b,12d	4,5,13, 14
EP 1 211 525 A (NIPPON SHEET GLASS CO LTD) 5 June 2002 (2002-06-05)	19,21-25
column 5, line 48 - column 10, line 1; figures 6-18	20
WO 02/37160 A (KEYMED MEDICALS & IND EQUIP; RAMSBOTTOM ANDREW (GB)) 10 May 2002 (2002-05-10) page 14, line 19 - page 16, line 22; figures 6a,6b,7a,7b,7c,7d	1-3, 6-12, 15-18
-/	
	DE 44 38 511 A (OLYMPUS OPTICAL CO) 14 June 1995 (1995-06-14) column 7, lines 17-68; figures 11a,11b,12d EP 1 211 525 A (NIPPON SHEET GLASS CO LTD) 5 June 2002 (2002-06-05) column 5, line 48 - column 10, line 1; figures 6-18 WO 02/37160 A (KEYMED MEDICALS & IND EQUIP; RAMSBOTTOM ANDREW (GB)) 10 May 2002 (2002-05-10) page 14, line 19 - page 16, line 22; figures 6a,6b,7a,7b,7c,7d

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
Special categories of cited documents: A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance E' earlier document but published on or after the International filing date L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "&" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
15 December 2004	04/01/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Casse, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2004/028183

Category °	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
<u> </u>	WO 91/15793 A (FIBER IMAGING INC; UNIV WASHINGTON (US)) 17 October 1991 (1991-10-17)	4,5,13, 14,20
	page 15, line 33 - page 17, line 12; figure 7 	1.6.0
X	US 5 461 444 A (OKURA ZENICHI ET AL) 24 October 1995 (1995-10-24) column 1, line 49 - column 3, line 18	1,6-9
A	EP 0 105 706 A (LAAKMANN ELECTRO OPTICS INC) 18 April 1984 (1984-04-18) page 7, line 1 - page 10, line 3; figures 1-3,7	1-25
A	US 5 760 976 A (BROWN EDWIN L ET AL) 2 June 1998 (1998-06-02) column 3, line 40 - column 5, line 35; figures 1,2	1-25
Α	US 3 329 074 A (GOSSELIN NORMAN R) 4 July 1967 (1967-07-04) column 7, line 69 - column 9, line 6; figures 20,21	1-18
Α	DE 92 00 876 U (OPTICON GMBH) 29 April 1993 (1993-04-29) page 11, line 15 - page 15, line 5; figures 1-11	1-18
Α .	US 5 680 260 A (FARCELLA MARTIN P ET AL) 21 October 1997 (1997-10-21) column 3, lines 20-53; figures 4-6	19-25

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US2004/028183

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	-	Publication date
DE 4438511	Α	14-06-1995	JP DE	7124099 4438511	A1	16-05-1995 14-06-1995
			DE 	9417262		09-02-1995
EP 1211525	Α	05-06-2002	JP	2002169085		14-06-2002 04-06-2002
			CA CN	2364204 1357775		10-07-2002
			EP	1211525		05-06-2002
			ÜS	2002097476		25-07-2002
WO 0237160	 А	10-05-2002	GB	2368659	A	08-05-2002
			AU	1075702	Α	15-05-2002
			DE	60105205		30-09-2004
			EP	1356335		29-10-2003
			WO	0237160		10-05-2002
			JP	2004513386		30-04-2004
			US 	2003179448	A1 	25-09-2003
WO 9115793	Α	17-10-1991	AU	7686191		30-10-1991
			AU	7689891		30-10-1991
			AU	7773291		30-10-1991
			AU IE	7789891 911232		30-10-1991 23-10-1991
			MO	911232		17-10-1991
			WO	9115829		17-10-1991
			WO	9115785		17-10-1991
			WO	9115786		17-10-1991
US 5461444	Α	24-10-1995	JP	7005353	Α .	10-01-1995
EP 0105706	Α	18-04-1984	DE	3373149		24-09-1987
			EP	0105706		18-04-1984
			JP	59083105	A 	14-05-1984
US 5760976	Α	02-06-1998	NONE			
US 3329074	A	04-07-1967	NONE			
DE 9200876	U	29-04-1993	DE	9200876	U1	29-04-1993
US 5680260	A	21-10-1997	NONE			

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PRECISION OPTICS CORPORATION 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I □ Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II □ Priority □ Box No. III □ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV □ Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V □ Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI □ Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII □ Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application	Го:				PCT
Caymonthyear See form PCT/SA210 (second sheet)	see form l	PCT/ISA/220		INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) 30.08.2004 Priority date (day/month/year) 10.09.2003 Pr			4		e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
anternational Patient Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC 302B23/24 Applicant PRECISION OPTICS CORPORATION 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international preliminary examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this international Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA					
Applicant PRECISION OPTICS CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:			_	ay/month/year)	1
Applicant PRECISION OPTICS CORPORATION 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Prunter Action If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		sification (IPC) or	both national classification a	and IPC	
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application □ FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 65.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	Applicant	S CORPORA	TION		
Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	1. This opinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the folk	owing items:	
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the or	oinion		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		-			
□ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application □ FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	of invention		
□ Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	☑ Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability; c	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial tement
 ☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docun	nents cited		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	lication	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internation	al application	
written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority (1PEA). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	2. FURTHER ACT	ION			
submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	written opinion of the applicant characterial Butternational Butte	of the Internation looses an Autho lireau under Rule	nal Preliminary Examining	g Authority (TPEA). be the IPEA and the	chosen IPEA has notifed the
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	submit to the IP months from the	'EA a written rep e date of mailing	dy together, where appro	priate, with amendm	ents, before the expiration of three
	For further option	ons, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
	For further deta	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2769





WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

4. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/US2004/028183

	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
١.	With regar	rd to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in age in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	langu	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search in Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regar	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and r to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	material:
	□ a:	sequence listing
	□ tal	ble(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material:
	□ in	written format
	□ in	computer readable form
	c. time of	filing/furnishing:
	□ cc	ontained in the international application as filed.
	☐ file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	has b	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/028183

	Box	No. II	Priority			
١.	\boxtimes	The fol	lowing document ha	s not beer	furnished	:
		⊠	copy of the earlier a	application	whose pric	ority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		. 🗆	translation of the ea	arlier appli	cation who	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Conse	quently it has not be neless been establis	en possibl hed on the	e to conside assumption	ler the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	□ .	This of	sinion has been esta	blished as les 43 <i>bis</i> .	if no priori	ity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim 1. Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international
3.			a awailahla ta tha IC.	A at tha tir	MA thát tha	of the priority claim because a copy of the priority docume search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Ado		observations, if nece			
	Во	x No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
_	Bo	x No. V ustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citation	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or an exporting such statement
 1.	ind	x No. V ustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citation	ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement
1.	ind Sta	ustrial	applicability; citation	ons and e	er Rule 43 explanation	4,5,13,14,20
_ 1.	ind Sta	ustrial tement	applicability; citation	ons and e	xplanation Claims	ns supporting such statement
1.	Sta No	ustrial tement velty (N	applicability; citation	Yes: No:	xplanation Claims	4,5,13,14,20
1.	Sta No	ustrial tement velty (N	applicability; citation	Yes: No:	claims Claims	4,5,13,14,20
1.	Sta Nov	ustrial tement velty (N entive s	applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims	4,5,13,14,20 1,2,3,6-12,15-19,21-25
1.	Sta Nov	ustrial tement velty (N entive s	applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	4,5,13,14,20 1,2,3,6-12,15-19,21-25
	Sta Nor Inv	ustrial tement velty (N entive s	applicability; citation	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	4,5,13,14,20 1,2,3,6-12,15-19,21-25
	Staa Nov	ustrial tement velty (N entive s lustrial a	applicability; citation tep (IS) applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	4,5,13,14,20 1,2,3,6-12,15-19,21-25
	Staa Nov	ustrial tement velty (N entive s lustrial a	applicability; citation tep (IS) applicability (IA)	Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes:	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	4,5,13,14,20 1,2,3,6-12,15-19,21-25

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Section VIII

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1 and 10 are not clear.

The features in the apparatus claims 1 and 10 "one sawn planar face" relate to a manufacturing step of the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The intended limitations, in respect of the term "sawn" are therefore not clear from this claim, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT. It would not be obvious to determine in an optical element having a planar side face whether the face has been obtained by sawing or other methods.

It is therefore only assumed that the rotationally symmetric optical element as a side portion missing or removed such that the component has a planar face parallel to and spaced from the optical axis.

Section V

1.) The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1 : DE 44 38 511 A (OLYMPUS OPTICAL CO) 14 June 1995 (1995-06-14)

D2 : WO 02/37160 A (KEYMED MEDICALS & IND EQUIP ; RAMSBOTTOM ANDREW (GB)) 10 May 2002 (2002-05-10)

D3: US 5 461 444 A (OKURA ZENICHI ET AL) 24 October 1995 (1995-10-24)

D4 : EP 1 211 525 A (NIPPON SHEET GLASS CO LTD) 5 June 2002 (2002-06-05)

D5 : WO 91/15793 A (FIBER IMAGING INC ; UNIV WASHINGTON (US)) 17 October 1991 (1991-10-17)

D6: EP-A-0 105 706 (LAAKMANN ELECTRO OPTICS INC) 18 April 1984 (1984-04-

D7: US-A-3 329 074 (GOSSELIN NORMAN R) 4 July 1967 (1967-07-04)

2.1) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) in figures 11a and 11b, an optical device extending along an optical axis comprising:

- A) a final lens element 21 formed from a centered rotationally symmetric initial rod lens, the lens 21 having three planar faces extending between image forming surfaces at each end of said lens element, the planar faces being parallel and spaced from the geometrical axis.
- B) a sheath 22 surrounding said lens element.

Thus, it possesses all the features of claim 1.

- 2.2) Document D2 discloses in figures 6a, 6b, 7a to 7d a final lens element 16 for an endoscope inserted in a sheath 1,19,194, wherein the lens element has flattened side surfaces (6b,7b,7d). This document appears also novelty destroying to the subject-matter of claim 1.
- 2.3) Document D3 shows in figure 1 a lens L2 comprising two planar side faces c parallel to the axis of the lens, the lens being held in a cylindrical holder 11b. This optical system appears also to have all the structural features of claim 1
- Claim 10 is a mere extension of claim 1 to a plurality of lenses forming the final lens element. This arrangement is disclosed in D1 figure 12d.
 It is furthermore a common practice to form rod lenses as compound lenses in endoscopes.
- 4.) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 19 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D4 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document) a method (paragraph 16) for making a lens system extending along a geometric axis comprising:

- A) Constructing an initial lens system with at least one lens 13 element having a centered rotationally symmetric structure around a geometric axis and image forming surface transverse to the optical axis (implicit for gradient index lenses)
- B) removing portions (figure 6) of the lens in the lens system by mechanical grinding thereby to form planar faces on the lens, parallel to the geometric axis whereby said lens has a polygonal cross-section (figure 7,10,14)

The method of claim 19 explicitly states that the lens is sawed. This is a direct technical alternative to mechanical grinding which can be chosen by the person skilled in the art without any inventive skills.

5.) Dependent claims 2-9, 11-18, 20-25 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). In particular, the "square in circle" geometry for light guides in endoscopes is a well-known arrangement, see figure 7 of D5, figures 3 and 7 of D6, figure 21 of D7. Since D1 does not exclude other polygonal shapes (column 7, lies 23-26), the selection of a square geometry would fall under consideration for the skilled person.